

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 CHARLES MANLEY,

3:11-cv-00636-RCJ-WGC

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 v.

9 ALAN ZIMMER, et. al.,

10 Defendants.
11

12 Before the court are two motions filed by Plaintiff: (1) Plaintiff's Motion for
13 Teleconference (Doc. # 241), and (2) Plaintiff's Motion for a Court Order Extending the NDOC
14 Copywork Limit (3rd Request) (Doc. # 242). Defendants have not yet had an opportunity to
15 respond to these motions; however, because of the time constraints facing the parties on
16 deadlines for dispositive motions, the court will conduct a status conference in the near future, at
17 a date to be scheduled by the courtroom deputy.

18 **I. Motion for Teleconference (Doc. # 241)**

19 Motions are typically decided without oral argument once briefing is completed unless
20 the court in its discretion deems otherwise. L.R. 78-2. In this instance, responses to Plaintiff's
21 motions are not due until May 19, 2014. The deadline to filing dispositive motions is
22 May 30, 2014. (Doc. # 237). The court is concerned that any lingering discovery dispute might
23 be asserted as grounds to further delay the dispositive motion deadline.

24 Therefore, Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 241) is **GRANTED**. The courtroom deputy is
25 **directed** to schedule a discovery status conference in this matter as soon as the court's calendar
26 will permit. In addition, Defendants' are directed to **file and serve** a response to Plaintiff's
27 motion addressing the substantive discovery issues asserted in the motion, and shall **submit**
28 copies of defendant Rowley's and defendant Hammock's supplemental discovery responses about

1 which Plaintiff complains. The court recognizes this imposes a burden upon defendants' counsel,
2 but in view of the tortured history of this case and with the rapidly approaching dispositive
3 motion deadline, the court wants to resolve the remaining discovery issues as soon as possible
4 and avoid further delay of the resolution of this litigation.

5 **II. Motion for Court Order Extending the NDOC Copywork Limit (Doc. # 242)**

6 The court will address plaintiff's request regarding copy work at the discovery status
7 conference. Defendants shall **file** a response regarding the current status of Plaintiff's copy work
8 account prior to the teleconference.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 DATED: May 6, 2014

12 
13 _____
14 WILLIAM G. COBB
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28